

July 28, 2010 (#630)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt July 28, 2010:
West Going Third World -- Look Around,
From the Media, Barely a Sound:
Nearly Over, You Know -- the Long, Long Fight,
We're Communitarian and Poor, Neo-Trotskyite:

"Generations of Treaties to Search and See,
Governments Signed, Neglecting You and Me,
All Intended for Neo-Soviet, Way of Living,
A World Served by Labour and Your Giving,
Hierarchy of CEOs, Foundations and NGOs,
With Experts Directing How it Goes,
And "Leaders" Seeking the Opportunity
To Strut and Lord Over "Your" Community,
A New Global Curriculum Set in Schools,
Ensuring Compliant, Stupid, Slaving Fools,
Like Animals in Orwell's "Animal Farm"
We'll be Ruled by Pigs Trained in Charm,
So We'll All Work for the "Greater Good",
Dissidents are Outcasts, Dinosaurs, Rude,
When Equally Poor, We Must Give Thanks
To Rockefeller, Rothschilds and Big Banks
For Neo-Communism Which We're to Love,
Peasants at Bottom and Elite Above"

© Alan Watt July 28, 2010

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 28, 2010 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, **INTERNAL** postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:

mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on July 28, 2010. For the newcomers, look into cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site. Bookmark all those sites you see listed on the front page. These are the official sites [listed above]. Sometimes there are problems with the .com site; lots of folk go into the .com at the same time, generally the day after the previous night's show. So if you have these other sites listed you can always get the latest download with good quality. Try the alternate sites if you find there is sticking as well on download. While you are at it, go into the items that I have for sale, the books, CDs and DVDs. That's how I get by. The ads you hear on the show are paid by the advertisers directly to the station for the air time and for their staff, equipment and their bills. So you've got to help me with mine. Buy these things, plus you can also donate as well. [Order and donation options listed above.] All the sites have the same audios for download. They all have transcripts of a lot of the talks I've given in English for print up. If you want print ups of the transcripts in other languages, go into alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and you will find a whole bunch of languages to choose from. They are a bit behind; it's a hard job translating so they are always behind the rest of them. The advertisers go directly to RBN with their cash. I don't take part of it. I could take part of it but then I would have to bring guests on and sell their products and so on and that detracts from the information. One hour isn't a long time to put information out there especially at the rate it's coming in today and especially the time we are living through now with the biggest changes really since the industrial revolution, according to the CFR themselves. So I try to get as much out as I can within one hour. So please help me out, that keeps me going. If you like what I'm saying, which I know lots of folk do because lots of other people use this stuff; it's up the next day all over the place, so it's certainly welcomed by different people with their own sites, etc.

We are going through the biggest changes really. We have been going through them for a while, it's just that most folk adapt so quickly into the new parts of the system by degrees that they take everything for granted. Their life hasn't been disrupted TOO much so they carry on as to what they think is normal, especially when everyone else does too. When everyone goes through suddenly buying stuff from China in the late 80s and through the 90s, without really noticing it or mentioning it, then it becomes the norm. They don't ask how come nothing is made at home anymore. Well that was taken care of by big international agreements with your governments of course, who are not your governments at all. They work for the same corporation, for World Inc. you might say, and they have planned this for an awful long time. It's the bringing down of the first world countries to merge with the third world countries and I guess we go into second place somewhere, or maybe even lower depending on how much they want to push it, how far they want to push it and how quickly too. We see this taking place in different countries all across Europe, very quickly. Britain is now really a third world country; it has been classed as that with their debt. Even the US is getting put in the same category, a long ways to go, a long ways to fall I should say. I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. Today I was thinking about the questions I get asked often through emails. The questions themselves, on the face of it of course, it's always very simple. You understand where the person is immediately by what they ask you. Some people think they are waking up or they've just woken up because they have been hit personally by new laws coming in or losing their jobs or something like that. But that isn't really waking up. That is reacting to the changes. Sometimes too, you will get asked a question, again, that is very simple, in its simplicity the WAY they ask it to you, and you realize you cannot give them an honest answer. An honest answer would mean that you would have to educate them up to a certain degree in the particular ONE area of their question that they are asking, so that you could answer them, so they would be ready for the answer you give them. That's how incredibly complex this thing is.

We have been really the targets of warfare our whole lives. Your parents were too - I keep saying this - and your grandparents as well. You will find literally a world agenda being planned back in the 1700s with the various revolutions that were underway. In France they were more open about what they wanted. Although in the US, you have Franklin as well, who said that he hoped this federation of the United States would be really, it would expand into the whole world and they would have a FEDERATION OF THE WORLD. And you do have a World Federalist Society that works along side all the other global citizenship organizations and so on to bring this all about. People don't realize what's BEEN happening.

The media never makes a big deal out of anything. They keep us literally in a la-la land. They give us the politics nonsense of left wing and right wing and whoever gets put in power, they slang them. It doesn't matter if it's left or right; it makes no difference at all. You are supposed to think, it's just ONE man that's making things go bad for you. They have done it with one President and Prime Minister after another; it makes no darn difference who they are. They are just front men. Even those front men will only know and be told what their part in this whole world agenda is, and NO MORE. It's always a need-to-know basis.

I can remember when the NAFTA, one of the free trade negotiations, were going on and Brian Mulroney came in. He came in on the ticket that he was not going to go along with this unification of the Americas and become another economic union just like Britain is in now, and France and all the other countries. He said it would be bad for Canada. But once he was in, once they elected him in, he changed his mind within 2 weeks and became the champion. That was the headlines in the paper. He was the champion now for NAFTA, it would be a great idea. Then after him it was Jean Chrétien for the liberals. He, again, ran on a ticket that he wouldn't sign it, it was bad for Canada. Then, again, within 2 weeks HE was the champion of NAFTA. It's the same nonsense going on. There is only one agenda.

Professor Carroll Quigley did say that, eventually the two parties would be streamlined until they literally met in the same stream basically and you would have rhetoric and nothing but rhetoric, meaningless rhetoric as they competed with each other to get in. It didn't matter because the heads are always put there by the Council on Foreign Relations for Canada and the United States and in Britain it's the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Now they have the whole of the European Union under the EU International Affairs organization run by the

same bunch at Chatham House. A world agenda that's been underway for an awful long time, but not just the unification of the world, it's a planned society they wanted to bring in.

They said, just like one of the many arms that they have, the Club of Rome, THAT DEMOCRACY WAS TOO CUMBERSOME, THEY COULDN'T GET ANYTHING DONE WITH COMPETING PARTIES AND COMPETING ORGANIZATIONS ALL FIGHTING AND VYING FOR POWER. THEY COULDN'T GET THEIR AGENDA THROUGH SO THEY'D HAVE TO PUT THEIR OWN PEOPLE IN AND BASICALLY STEAMROLL THE AGENDA AHEAD. PLUS, the ex Prime Ministers you notice, and ex Presidents don't retire, they keep going around the world. They are given new jobs, talking at big world meetings and so on. Sometimes you will see it in the papers, and they are pushing the same unification of the planet. They belong now to the PARALLEL GOVERNMENT that Margaret Thatcher talked about. They already know the ropes. They know all the ex Prime Ministers and Presidents and all the politicians and bureaucrats across the world so they are not tossed off to the side to enjoy the free directorships that they get, 20 at a time generally. They go around the world still working this agenda ahead.

They have many guises of this agenda, of fairness doctrines and all this kind of stuff, and equality. And you must understand why they push what sound really to be pretty good ideas, they push them, but it's not equality as you think of it. It's a planned society that they envisaged and they envisioned it a long time ago at the League of Nations, before they became the United Nations, where experts would run the world and experts would rule these communities. They would be kept as small communities and they would control the population in those communities. They give you the appearance of participating in this system, belonging to your community, attending community events, getting to vote on certain things. In other words, get you involved in thinking that you are really taking part in something without realizing of course, that the leaders that they put in will guide you into the direction they wanted you to go in the first place. But the FEELING of participating is really enough to fool most people. What do you think voting has been about all this time?

They envisage this world of communitarian societies, which really is a nice way of saying the updated, the better, more improved soviet style... because that's how the soviet style was supposed to be, rule by councils. That's what it means. Under the guise of sustainability they are pushing and ramming this all the way through, right to the very bottom of society. They have been putting their trained leaders IN your society, some semi-retired politicians, semi-retired bureaucrats, spreading them out into the areas across your countries for many, many years in preparation for what's happening now. Some of them are already advisors on your local councils, town councils and so on, and they have been for years too.

Well, you didn't elect these people and you see that's what you are getting used to, non-elected people running your lives for you under the guise of sustainability. Most folk don't understand it unless it hits them personally. Some places in the US have already had dealings with them. Some areas have actually gone green and they are sustainable as they call it, and their whole town councils have members on board with this. It's the same in Britain. As I say, BRITAIN IS THE FLAGSHIP SO YOU ALWAYS WATCH BRITAIN TO SEE WHAT'S REALLY HAPPENING. Now, this prune - I call them prunes you see; I don't bother calling them politicians because they are yes-men and they are eager little psychopaths

who will do anything they are told for their masters – he’s now the Prime Minister of Britain. This is an article from the Telegraph. This is the standard way the journalists will present this; it’s all his fault and this kind of stuff. But no, it wouldn’t matter who was in. It wouldn’t matter at all, if it was a Martian that they put in there, the same thing is going ahead. It says here...

David Cameron's Big Society is a grotesque fantasy inspired by leftist subversive Saul Alinsky

By Gerald Warner Politics Last updated: April 1st, 2010 / telegraph.co.uk

(Alan: These guys are very interesting, again, Saul Alinsky, a very good friend of Obama.)

“This plan is directly based on the successful community organising movement established by Saul Alinsky in the United States and has successfully trained generations of community organisers, including President Obama.”

That statement, which beggars belief even in the political fairground we now inhabit, is not taken from some far-out Trotskyite samizdat, but from the official Conservative Party introduction to David Cameron’s Big Idea (A: *And it wasn’t his of course.*) – the creation of a “Neighbourhood army” of 5,000 full-time community organisers to implement his grotesque fantasy called “Big Society”. If you ever doubted that, under Cameron, the Conservative Party has become ideologically and culturally deracinated, has lost its political compass and is occupied by an alien clique that has disfigured it beyond recognition, here is the incontestable evidence.

Saul Alinsky is here openly acknowledged as the inspiration behind Cameron’s “Big Idea”. (A: *Well, he may have been the one they put out to approach Cameron on it and coach him.*) Alinsky was the lifelong cultural revolutionary and political subversive whom Barack Obama formerly claimed as his “spiritual mentor”; since Obama hit mainstream politics, however, his supporters have expended a vast amount of effort on trying to conceal that embarrassing history. (A: *There is more than that embarrassing story too and I’ll tell you another one later.*) The aggressively amoral Alinsky believed there was no right or wrong in politics, only what was necessary to seize power (A: *That’s standard Marxist doctrine. Tell the public whatever you want to seize power, that’s standard.*) (well, Dave and his gang would buy that).

Yet the Conservative Party blurts out this admission in the launch document of Big Society. There is a pedantic debate over whether Alinsky was technically a Marxist, or by-passed Marx as old-hat. What is beyond question is his project to overthrow capitalist society and to do so through infiltration of political parties, institutions and, above all, by the use of “community organisers”. (A: *It’s actually neo-Marxism they are calling it now and it’s also neo-Trotskyism. Trotsky’s ideas were based on the community soviet type system, which is this exactly.*) Anybody who thought claims on this blog of Cultural Marxism influencing even the Tory Party were exaggerated can now think again. Alinsky was the first begetter of ACORN (A: *Remember ACORN?*), the sinister organisation that tried to gerrymander the American electorate.

What is going on here? Who is running the Cameronian Party – Common Purpose? How is it conceivable that even the most bland, politically correct, centre-right “conservative” party could derive its flagship policy from the thinking of Alinsky, whose seminal work *Rules for Radicals* (A: *Which he published.*) was dedicated to Lucifer? If, as one suspects, this is the brainchild of Oliver Letwin, he needs to be escorted expeditiously to the seclusion of a padded boudoir.

Are the Tories totally demented? Sending 5,000 community organisers to harass people into approved activities (A: *And that's the key to it, approved activities...*) is reminiscent of the Red Guards invading the countryside during the Chinese Cultural Revolution. (A: *Well you've got it folks because you see the Royal Institute of International Affairs who set up Common Purpose and all these community leaders and so on, based it on that model. They actually funded also the Chinese Cultural Revolution. Yep. One group created communist China and it wasn't the Chinese who financed it and guided them.*) Claims that they will not be state employees are contradicted by the references to “grants” that will be made available. The inescapable fact is that any enterprise artificially generated by Government is a state-inspired initiative. Real communities have the WRI, the British Legion, bowling, tennis, cricket clubs – naturally evolved organisations.

Those who prate most about “communitarianism” are precisely those who have destroyed real communities, by enforcing mass immigration, impoverishing the countryside, imposing draconian Health and Safety regulations, etc. What hope for thriving communities when nativity plays are routinely banned on PC grounds? (A: *You can't even put anything to do with Christianity in the windows in the city now. It's illegal.*) Do something about all that, Dave – that is all that people ask.

What a dog's breakfast the whole Heath-Robinson contraption is. A Big Society Bank funded by dormant bank accounts – they're having a laugh, surely? And why should communities want to buy clapped-out shops and other enterprises that have failed the test of market forces? Transforming the civil service into a “civic service” (A: *And that's no joking, they are doing all of this.*) by making community busy-bodding an element in civil servant staff appraisals – what kind of nonsense is this? Forcing the most public-sector-minded jobsworths in the country to the forefront of local community life is actually the most culturally centralising, collectivist initiative imaginable.

On page 6 of this electoral suicide note we also read that neighbourhood “group leaders” will have a code of conduct and “This code of conduct will protect neighbourhood groups against extremist causes.” (A: *Do you understand, that's total soviet. Anything that's against what they are doing is called an extremist cause and the participants are extremists. You see where it's all going?*) What is that all about? Political direction and social control, it would seem. The point where one sees why Dave had to rush this initiative out a few hours ahead of 1 April is when we come to the proposed annual national “Big Society Day”.

So it's communitarianism. They have already implemented it I noticed in the US

in a couple of places. It was quite interesting and I might talk about that later. There are other articles here that tie right in with this 'Big Society' as they are calling it.

This came from the UN's Agenda 21, the agenda for the 21st century and from its Millennium report because that was the precursor of the 21st century agenda. You have to really go into it to see where it's all supposed to go. Even in Australia they are really ticked off about it. (Remember, I'll put these links up on my site at the end of the show.) Back in 2008 it was announced there that...

Agenda for the 21st Century Invades Australia

By Diane Ross / freedomadvocates.org / Monday, 7 January 2008

Australian leaders enforce Agenda 21 Sustainable Development by restricting private property use through policies like the "Native Vegetation Act." Public-private partnerships with willing corporations, councils (*A: Your town councils.*), developers and others are used to advance the agenda. As a result, Australian businesses are becoming nationalized and private property is being abolished.

(A: Then they go on to give you more stuff with people who are protesting it and so on. But it says...) The vanguard implementation of Agenda 21 policies will pummel the Australian landscape. Leaders eager to enforce Agenda 21 encourage Public-Private Partnerships with willing corporations, developers and others. These alliances create nationalized businesses and erode private property ownership.

Environmental groups sanction and aid Agenda 21 policies. They are funded by organizations such as the Rockefeller Foundation. Unsuspecting citizens are misled by buzz words such as:

- Sustainable Development
- Smart Growth (*A: That's all part of Agenda 21.*)
- Taking Care of the Planet
- Climate Change
- Global Warming
- And other similar language

Following are reports from concerned Australians:

Australia Earth Dialogues July 2006

"Earth Dialogues" was held in Brisbane Australia in July 2006. This was the first time the conference was held outside of Europe. Mikhail Gorbachev as the keynote speaker.

The theme was "A World Forum for Resource Management and Sustainable Development." The event was chaired by Mikhail Gorbachev of Green Cross International and the Honorable Peter Beattie, Premier of Queensland.

Speakers encouraged the audience, including many children, to "care for the environment." In one session, children were taught to sing a song of praise

for Gorbachev and Green Cross International. (A: *Is that reminiscent of China or what? Because that's the sort of stuff they did there for little Mao Tse Tung and they'd sing out of their Little Red Book.*)

The speakers promoted a “new vision” and a “new future.” These included:

- Eco-friendly gardens
- High rise development
- Eco-friendly modes of transportation, e.g., eliminate cars, replace cars with bicycles, use buses, etc.
- Create “healthy cities.”

College and school teachers in attendance were very enthusiastic. Did they fail to understand that the candy coated offerings directly resulted from the 1992 Earth Summit which first advocated “Agenda 21/Sustainable Development?”

The children were encouraged to denounce national sovereignty (A: *By the way.*) and embrace a global government. The principal objectives were:

- To accept the (A: *United Nations'*) Earth Charter
- Establish an Asian Pacific Region office of Green Cross International
- And Implement International Law (A: *That's all again from the United Nations.*)

There was no debate nor was contrary voice heard. People were shown pictures of the terrible disasters that destroy the environment. The Earth Charter was presented as the only solution to the “global environment crisis.”

The media downplayed the event by portraying it as an event for children. (A: *Because the media is all part of the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the Australian Institute of International Affairs. Every British Commonwealth country has a department of it working and telling government what to do.*) The Earth Dialogues goal is to create crises, then resolve them by taking control of water, land and business through International Laws and United Nations intervention.

It's been on the go for a long time folks. They have set up the machinery and the organizations. They have trained them through Common Purpose in Britain and elsewhere. By the way, Cameron, the Prime Minister of Britain, is off on foreign tour and he just visited the Common Purpose HQ in Bangalore.

UK Prime Minister David Cameron visits Common Purpose in Bangalore

[Flicker.com](#)

They have them all over the world now. I'll put that link up for you too. Their idea for Common Purpose is to train YOUNG leaders to grow up to be their future community leaders. They are going to be completely soviet, completely sovietized. You have to go through the organizations that are into it. Another one is the OECD Observer. It goes on to tell you about their various goals that they

have set up.)

Crafting the agenda for the 21st century

Paul Hohnen, Special Advisor and Former Political Director of Greenpeace International (*A: Well, what else?*) / oecdobserver.org

Over the last decade in particular, there has been much talk about the role of non-governmental organisations (*A: Unelected in other words.*) and their influence on politics and policymaking, but also on business strategies. Are these NGOs “friend or foe”? The answer to that is largely up to governments.

Who is in charge, governments or corporations? And where are they taking us? One of the clear messages to emerge from the “anti-globalisation” protests over recent years has been a concern that elected governments have effectively abdicated to the private sector their responsibility for the welfare of both people and planet. With the gap growing between the rhetoric of globalisation – that the market would provide more wealth for more people – and the reality that there is more wealth for some people...

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. We always get cut off at 8:30, I don't know why, but I'm back on again and I'm going through the basic Agenda 21. It's called many names, sustainable development, sustainable communities and so on. This ties in with what I said at the beginning of the show. People ask you what seem to be simple, naïve questions but you have to really, you'd have to bring them up in so much knowledge just to answer the question, to give them the answer to the question at the end of building them up to it, otherwise they can make no sense of it. See they should have been aware all of their life and they haven't been. That's what you really realize. They have sailed through life playing, watching media, and doing all the things you are supposed to do, except paying attention to what's really going on. That's the last thing they want anyone to do.

Here is another one on Agenda 21 and this is from 1998. It's a good site and I'll put this link up, all these links up on my web site at the end of the show.

Agenda 21

The U.N. Plan for Your "Sustainable" Community

By Berit Kjos – 1998 / crossroad.to

This Global action plan matches PCSD report: Sustainable America

See also The U.N. Plan For Global Control: The Habitat II Agenda

(A: There are SO many. It's so massive. Any area that you look into, it's so massive with bureaucracies. Just this alone you could spend your lifetime going through, just this one alone. They are all linked together like spider's legs back to the main body that controls the world. We are already global. I've got another one from the UN saying that WE ARE GLOBAL SOCIETY NOW and they are gearing up now to plan all the different things for the future, now that they ARE under authoritarian global society. That's the key, AUTHORITARIAN; it's not democratic.)

UNESCO's Philosophy

[11-6-09] Democrats Bury 'Community Transformation' Plans in Health Care Bill: "In the bill, the Affordable Health Choices Act, community transformation plans would be carried out using federal money and be overseen by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The CDC would distribute the money as well as coordinate the various state, local, and 'community' entities responsible for carrying out the plans.

"...both state and local governments are eligible for the grants, as are 'national networks of community-based organizations'.... (A: *Doesn't this fit right in with what they are doing in Britain, as they have slashed all the health care, all the usual operations are gone now. You can't get cataracts fixed or anything like that. They are just third world country status under the IMF, at the same time they are pushing communitarianism, giving you the pretense that you will be involved with decision making in your own community, while in reality Common Purpose has trained the leaders and put them all in place. It's the same thing. This is for the US though.*) The transformation plans must include 'activities' for all ages, (A: *Listen to this...*)

beginning in public schools, which focus on eating healthy food, adequate amounts of physical activity....'Every time our friends on the other side start talking about community organizations, we usually think ACORN..." (A: *The same guy I mentioned at the beginning of the show, Obama's spiritual mentor. And what does it say within this Agenda 21?...*)

"...current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and workplace air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable. A shift is necessary. which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations..." [1] Maurice Strong , opening speech at the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development

Note: This global contract binds all nations and spreading regions to the collective vision of "sustainable development." They must commit to pursue the three E's of "sustainability": Environment, Economy and Equity referring to the UN blueprint for environmental regulations, economic regulations, and social equity. (A: *That's why your money is being given to people all over the planet now. As Marx would have it, the redistribution of wealth. You are living all through it. You have been living all through it.*)

Agenda 21, the UN blueprint for global transformation, sounds good to many well meaning people. Drafted for the purpose of creating "sustainable societies", it has been welcomed by nations around the world. Political, cultural, and media leaders have embraced its alluring visions of social justice and a healthy planet. They hide the lies behind its doomsday scenarios and fraudulent science. Relatively few consider the contrary facts and colossal costs.

After all, what could be wrong with preserving resources for the next generation? Why not limit consumption and reduce energy use? Why not

abolish poverty and establish a global welfare system to train parents, monitor intolerance, and meet all our needs? Why not save the planet by trading cars for bikes, an open market for "self-sustaining communities," and single dwellings for dense "human settlements" (located on transit lines) where everyone would dialogue, share common ground, and be equal?

The answer is simple. Marxist economics has never worked. (A: *Now remember, the CFR, according to Quigley who was the historian for them, said that they were often accused of BEING COMMUNIST BECAUSE THEIR POLICIES SEEMED TO BE ALONG THE COMMUNIST DIRECTION. Well, that's exactly right. They were. They are bringing in a communistic type society for the peasants so that the very wealthy elite above them, the fascist bunch, can rule them more easily, with these layers of NGO leaders and bureaucrats, etc, and civil servants all the way up to the federal level. That's exactly what they wanted. Who started off the communists anyway? Who funded Marx and so on? It was the bankers. The bankers prefer this kind of society, which they will end up owning by the way, because the bankers are putting everything under biospheres where no one can go in to take anything out of the ground whatsoever, except special international corporations which the UN delegates to do so. But it also means that no one can live on that area. You will be crammed into your little habitat you see. Under this guise of carbon sinks - the beautiful one - they are buying up millions of acres of forest in the Amazon and so on; they are going to make a killing off it. But they are also going to get all those natives off their land as well, for you know, ENVIRONMENTAL PURPOSES. YOU ARE DESTROYING THE ENVIRONMENT, they are going to say. Just living here is destroying the environment. However, the big gold companies that are well in with the UN and give money back to them of course, will be allowed to go in, and the loggers as well. It's always a big lie folks. See, they would never tell you the truth and the last ones to ever tell you the truth are Marxists, or the Council on Foreign Relations, or the bankers because they're all one.)*

Socialism produces poverty, not prosperity. Collectivism creates oppression, not freedom. Trusting environmental "scientists" who depend on government funding and must produce politically useful "information" will lead to economic and social disaster.

Even so, local and national leaders around the world are following the UN blueprint for global management and "sustainable communities," and President Clinton is leading the way. (A: *He was then and now there are other ones since him.*) A letter I received from The President's Council on Sustainable Development states that -

"In April 1997, President Clinton asked the council to advise him on: next steps in building a new environmental management system for the 21st century... and policies that foster U.S. leadership on sustainable development internationally. The council was also charged to ensure that social equity issues are fully integrated..."

Now I'll jump from there to this little interesting piece because it ties in with it as well.

Carnegie (A: Foundation) launches SE Asia unit, with Bakrie funding

thejakartapost.com | Wed, 7/28/2010 | Endy M. Bayuni

The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (*A: It always goes under the International Peace you see, all these big foundations like Rockefeller and Carnegie and Ford and so on.*), a prestigious foreign policy think-tank organization based in Washington, recently launched its Southeast Asian studies department with funding from the widely diversified Bakrie Group, one of Indonesia's largest business conglomerates. (*A: So they make you think it's just a charity thing funding it right, but it ain't.*)

"Southeast Asian nations are critical players in the international community and global economy," Carnegie vice president Douglas Paal said at the official announcement of the establishment of the Bakrie Chair for Southeast Asian Studies. (*A: I've mentioned before that the Royal Institute of International Affairs set up the Council on Foreign Relations in America but they also set up organizations for the Asia/Pacific Rim region as well. This is one of them. And they want to bring a bloc of these, just like the European is a bloc, a new soviet; they want to do the same thing with the Far East as well. They are lumping Australia and New Zealand and all these countries in with them. 100 years ago they designed that whole part of it.*)

Billing itself as the first truly global think tank - with offices in Moscow, Beijing, Beirut, Brussels and Washington - the organization welcomes the opportunity provided with Bakrie's "generous pledge" to look at Southeast Asia, and particularly Indonesia, more closely.

(The amount of funding provided to the organization was not disclosed.) (*A: No, I bet it wasn't.*)

The chair is sponsored by the non-profit Bakrie Foundation Center (*A: We are all headed by philanthropists you see, with their armies of NGOs.*) headed by Anindya Bakrie, one of the most prominent members of a family that founded and controls one of Indonesia's biggest business empires. (*A: And she certainly does. But here is the interesting part here...*)

Members of the Bakrie clan at the launch included Aburizal, the one-time CEO of the group and a minister in President Yudhoyono's first administration. He is now the chairman of the Golkar Party, Indonesia's second-largest party.

Also in attendance were Maya Soetoro Ng (*A: Guess who this is...*) (the half-sister of US President Barack Obama), (*A: Isn't it a small world folks? Small world this is, you know. So here is an alliance going in for this Asia-Pacific region and who is taking part in it? Obama's half sister.*) representatives from the Indonesian embassy, other ASEAN member states, businesses and organizations with interests in Indonesia, as well as Jeffrey Beda of the National Security Council and Stapleton Roy, a former US ambassador to Indonesia.

It's really amazing. I used to think it fascinating that Britain used to go to war in the middle ages with the King's cousins, he generally was cousin with the King of France and stuff like that. In World War I you had the King going to war with his cousin in Germany, the Kaiser -which of course is Kazar by the way, and it's the same as Caesar; it's all the same word, the same root word. In fact they were so closely related that they looked pretty well identical. In World War II, for Germany to build up its war industry, they set up the big umbrella group IG Farben. The funding initially came through the Sachs family and you go into Eleanor Roosevelt's diary of the family tree, because she and her husband were both cousins of course, the same family tree, and who were they related to? Well the Sachs family of Holland and Germany. [Alan laughing.] Small world that, isn't it? Small world. So here is the Far East and there is this guy that no one had heard of, Mr Obama. We never heard of him before. Obviously they had things planned for him, and Maya his half-sister, a long time ago because she is now heading off the Eastern Pacific Rim region and getting them under a conglomerate on board with the whole agenda for sustainability and all the rest of it, and to make sure that the big businesses, the approved ones at the top, prosper. Quite something eh?

It's just as ludicrous of course as I say, these coincidences, as so many other coincidences, like President Bush's father, who was an ex President himself, down the road of New York when 9/11 happened, meeting with his business conglomerate and it was the Bin Laden family who were part of his business enterprise. It's just... It's a small world. Small world folks, you know. All these billions of people but what a small world isn't it, eh?

So sustainable development is a big, big thing but when you go in TO the United Nations, there is a link that I might put up. It's called...

The Future Intellectual Agenda of the United Nations according to the WAAS Survey.

unhistory.org

They go on about the process for setting the agenda, how the NGOs must take a bigger and bigger part in the communities and how they've got to shape policy directions for all the countries. It's quite fascinating to read through it and realize all that goes into this, when you think that you've still got national governments. All the proper people in your government have been put there by higher forces than you, the electorate. They are all strategically placed in all the right places for the right time. Look at all the bunch on board with Obama that's appointed there. It's amazing too, that every country now for about the last 8-10 years has had environmental ministers, or politicians, on board. Environmental politicians? Really? It's all Agenda 21.

Down in your little community, remember, you will be dealing with health care because you see, the health care systems have to collapse. They are supposed to go back to basic primitivism. I noticed in the US under Obama's health care system - I hate using his name because he doesn't do this stuff; it's all done by other people for him - they are talking about bringing in holistic healers in as well. What they are really telling you, folks, is you won't be getting treatments anymore and the real medicines and all the rest of it. You will be getting a lot of the other stuff in lieu of it. They are all going to take part in the insurance payoffs

as well; they get paid for doing this. They tried that in Canada a few years back and I couldn't believe my eyes when it came on CBC that this experiment with nurses who had been given a week's training, in these "healing hand" projects where they stand over the patient and just waft their hands back and forth really fast, never talking touching the patient. I don't know if they are trying to pull out the cancer psychically you know, or take out the soul of the cancer, or what they were trying to do, or maybe just keep flies away. I have no idea at all. But of course after doing that for about a year or two it was announced in the paper that it had made no difference whatsoever, except they kept the patients cool.

This is the nonsense you are going to go back to as they bring the world down and depopulate the world, but the trick is to make you think you are a stakeholder in it all. I've explained before, you are a stakeholder – that's the terms they always use – you are not a shareholder. A stakeholder literally is like making a native on his land, who's never registered the land at the United Nations; he ends up with nothing. That's what it is. So as I say, when people ask you simple questions, and it's very simple to them I should say, you can't even give them an honest answer, even in the one little minute area they are asking you about, unless you gave them an education in that area to bring them up to speed on what's been happening for the last 100 years, and then give them, as to prepare them, for the answer that you are going to give them. And that's what people find out there. Otherwise it goes right over their head, they haven't a clue, and they were expecting a simple response from you. What we do have to know is this: We are going into a hellish system, an overbearing system where we have no say whatsoever. And I don't want it and neither should you. You should do something about it now. I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks. I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. I'll finish back on the WAAS article from the United Nations for its future intellectual agenda, as they call it.

The Future Intellectual Agenda of the United Nations according to the WAAS Survey.

1- Clearly, the "Global Eco System and who will survive the certain disaster?" (A: *They are certain, they are positive disasters are coming.*) is high on the Agenda. One member asks himself whether this should not constitute the only intellectual agenda for UN affirmative action in order to resolve this mind-boggling matter within the next five years.

2- The UN must initiate a global initiative to include an international component in the curricula of schools. (A: *That will be done through UNESCO. It already is being done through UNESCO.*) A globalized world requires that countries slowly adjust their national education systems to the global challenge (A: *They are to become international.*) of improving mutual cultural and religious understanding as well as more familiarity with emergent new technologies. All too often national education systems promote a highly nationalist view of history (either portraying the country as a mere victim or a benevolent perpetrator). There is also a problem in the teaching of religion and of ideas that focus on the views of the dominant cultural group in the country and neglects the views of other cultures. (A: *Like Britain where you can't be a Christian anymore.*) Students often leave school ill-equipped to

judge recent political, economic and technological developments. The UN should therefore start a global initiative to include an international component into national teaching (*A: You have international teachers.*) and increase the share of new knowledge (training teachers and providing the necessary material).

3- The UN must ensure that NGOs also become more accountable, stick to facts and are less opportunistic. (*A: And it goes into a whole bunch of stuff on them, to become more involved and more accurate, and how to even interrelate with the press, etc. So they have to become more and more specialized and better trained.*)

4- The development of a new integrating science that focuses on exploring and understanding long-term cultural change (*A: That's so that we'll all get used to cultural change and mass immigration as you are being denationalized completely; gutted in other words. Nothing is left out of it, even transportation and so on.*), evolution and transformation. Such an understanding is the intellectual foundation required to identify the emerging realities of the 21st Century. In other language, the strategic issues on which the human future now hangs are no longer primarily engineering and finance issues, or even environmental issues – although these remain vitally important (*A: To brainwash the rest.*) – they are now the meta-issues of the humanities and social sciences.

5- Often one sees arguments for specific, culture bound, or even religiously defined criteria for science, particularly for the social sciences. This is detrimental to the scientific endeavor. The only way for science to progress and for individual scientists to develop is the acknowledgement of and participation in the universal scientific discourse, (*A: That's global.*) the recognition of the value of both pure and applied science. (*A: You know too, that they are talking about social sciences and how to control the public. They actually go into psychology and all the rest of it.*)

Your specialists that will be appointed to your little community will be giving you little psych lessons and psych tests shortly to make sure that you are not a radical. If you are a thinker and you know things, you have to learn to pretend you are dumb and stupid, and instead of saying Amen to everyone around you, you'll say GREEN PEACE, GREEN PEACE TO EVERYONE; you know, stuff like that, just to live and survive. That's how it's going to be folks.... UNLESS YOU CHANGE IT NOW. It's up to you. You never voted for this. Your governments sold you out. Get them out.

From myself and Hamish in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[UK Big Society Credited to Obama's Mentor Saul Alinsky](#)

[UK Prime Minister Cameron Visits Common Purpose Organization in Bangalore](#)

[Agenda 21 Invades Australia](#)

[Crafting the Agenda for the 21st Century](#)

[Agenda 21-Sustainable Communities](#)

[Carnegie Endowment, South East Asia, Bakrie Group, ASEAN, Obama's Half-Sister work on the East's Version of the EU](#)

[The Future Intellectual Agenda of the United Nations according to the WAAS Survey. \(for Next Phase of 21st Century\)](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"